FILED LODGED
RECEIVED

JUN 1 0 2021

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA
BY DEPUTY

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

8 NATHEN BARTON,

CASE NO. 3:21-cv-05423

v.

Plaintiff

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

DIRECTV LLC

Defendant(s).

TO: CLERK OF COURT

AND TO: ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD

Please find Plaintiff's enclosed First Amended Complaint, amended as a matter of course.

16

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

DATED: June 8, 2021 /s/ Nathen Barton

Nathen Barton

4618 NW 11<sup>th</sup> Cir Camas WA 98607

FarmersBranch2014@gmail.com

20

19

21

22

23

24

COVER LETTER – AMENDED COMPLAINT - 1 CASE NO 3:21-CV-05423 NATHEN BARTON 4618 NW 11<sup>TH</sup> CIR CAMAS WA 98607

	Case 3:21-cv-05423	3-BHS	Document 7	Filed 06/10/21	Page 2 of 8		
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON						
7			ř				
8	NATHEN BARTON,	NATHEN BARTON,		Case No.: 3:21-c	v-05423		
9	Plaintiff v.				DED COMPLAINT CIVIL CASE		
10							
11							
12	Defendant(s).						
13							
14	I. THE PARTIES TO THIS COMPLAINT						
15	A. Plaintiff						
	Name		Barton				
16	Street Address		W 11 <sup>th</sup> Cir				
17	City and County	W-11					
18	State and Zip Code Telephone Number  (718) 710-5784						
19	B. Defendant(s)  Defendant No. 1						
20							
	Name		DirecTv LL	DirecTv LLC			
21	Job or Title (if kn	10					
22	Street Address City and County		2260 E. Imp	2260 E. Imperial Highway			
23			El Segundo, Los Angeles County				
24	State and Zip Code CA, 90245						
	PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED C CASE NO 3:21-CV-05423	COMPLA	INT FOR A CIVI	L CASE - 1	NATHEN BARTON 4618 NW 11 <sup>TH</sup> CIR CAMAS WA 98607		

1 Telephone Number

(800) 531-5000

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

### II. BASIS FOR JURISDICTION

Plaintiff Nathen Barton is a natural person and full-time resident of Clark County, Washington. All the acts alleged in this complaint occurred in Clark County, Washington State, during the year 2021.

Jurisdiction in this court is correct because of where Plaintiff resides, his residence is a nexus where Plaintiff suffered personal injury and invasion of privacy at the hands of the Defendant, and Plaintiff is suing in part under federal statute the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, known as the TCPA, giving rise to a lawsuit that may be brought in Federal Court pursuant to *Mims v. Arrow Fin. Services, LLC*.

#### III. STATEMENT OF CLAIM

Plaintiff has no established business relationship with DirecTV LLC nor has ever done business with DirecTV LLC.

Plaintiff's cell number (718) 710 5784 ("5784") has been registered on the Federal Trade Commission national do-not-call registry <a href="www.donotcall.gov">www.donotcall.gov</a> more than 31 days prior to February 15, 2021. His (718) 710 5784 cell phone service plan is limited – any phone calls or texts to that cell number diminish the remaining talk time, data transfer, and text messages the cell phone may receive during that billing period.

Plaintiff uses his cell phone with phone number (718) 710 5784 as a residential telephone subscriber. Specifically, he obtained this phone and phone number to use in his individual capacity for non-commercial judicial branch advocacy and it is a Protected Computer as it is used in a manner that affects interstate or foreign commerce or communication.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	,
13	-
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	(

	On or about 1:53PM, February 15, 2021, Plaintiff missed a phone call from (844) 564				
	1350. Plaintiff called back that same telephone number on the same day and reached "DirecTV				
	AT&T" according to the automated voice that answered the phone. Plaintiff stayed on the line,				
	and after waiting two minutes and 10 seconds, reached a company representative, and asked to				
	be taken off the company's calling list. The representative said: "Sure sir, I'll go ahead and add				
	your number in the do not call list so you won't receiving [sic] any more calls from our side".				
	Plaintiff believes that the 'DirecTV' in the call is DirecTV LLC ("DirecTV") with				
	Washington State UBI number 602 592 221, and attorney Kyle J. Steinmetz representing AT&T				
	Inc ("AT&T") and DirectTV attested that DirecTV is the correct defendant in this lawsuit.				
	Plaintiff went on to receive 10 more calls from DirecTV, for a total of 11 telephone calls				
	received for the purposes of solicitation. Each telephone call was placed using an automated				
dialing and announcing device and speaking with an artificial or prerecorded voice. Each					
	telephone call was for the purpose of soliciting services from DirecTV. The additional ten				
	telephone calls received were from the telephone numbers listed at the times listed:				
	1 (855) 479 0427, received on February 22, at or about 10:19AM				
	2 (833) 308 2053, received on March 2, at or about 4:27PM				
	3 (888) 496 1104, received on March 11, at or about 9:07PM				
	4 (844) 288 3291, received on March 12, at or about 7:17AM				
	5 (855) 729 2893, received on March 17, at or about 10:05AM				
	6 (888) 504 0675, received on March 23, at or about 12:53PM				
	7 (844) 566 8454, received on March 24, at or about 12:53PM				
	8 (855) 486 6192, received on March 25, at or about 10:46AM				
	9 (877) 372 0875, received on March 26, at or about 10:11AM				
	10 (877) 329 2645, received on March 31, at or about 1:59PM				

On March 17, at 11:19AM, Plaintiff called back (855) 729 2893, reached a DirecTV customer service representative, and asked "Can you put us on your do not call list?" The man

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR A CIVIL CASE - 3 CASE NO 3:21-CV-05423

NATHEN BARTON 4618 NW 11<sup>TH</sup> CIR CAMAS WA 98607

1	replied "Alright sir, no problem, I really do apologize for this inconvenience, and if you do not					
2	want any more calls from AT&T DirecTV I will put you on the do not call list".					
3	On March 25, at 11:06PM, Plaintiff called back (855) 486 6192, reached a DirecTV					
4	customer service representative, and asked "Can you put us on your do not call list?" The man					
5	replied "sure" and hung up.					
6	Plaintiff asked DirecTV three times to be put on their do not call list. Plaintiff does not					
7	have any relationship with DirecTV or AT&T by which they could legally solicit Plaintiff for					
8	any reason.					
9	On information or belief, DirecTV is not registered as a telemarketer with the					
10	Washington State Department of Licensing.					
11	IV. RELIEF					
12	The Defendant placed or caused to be placed 11 solicitation calls to Plaintiff's cell phone					
13	with phone number (718) 710 5784.					
14	Defendant violated the TCPA 47 U.S.C. 227(c)(5) by soliciting Plaintiff 11 times on his					
15	cell phone while he was registered on the FTC do-not-call list.					
16	Defendant violated the TCPA 47 U.S.C. 227(b) by soliciting Plaintiff six times on his cell					
17	phone using an artificial or prerecorded voice.					
18	Defendant violated Telemarketing Sales Rule §310.4(b)(iii)(A) and §310.4(b)(iii)(B) by					
19	11 times by placing solicitation phone calls to Plaintiff while he was registered on the FTC do-					
20	not-call list, and after Plaintiff specifically asked DirecTV to stop calling Plaintiff.					
21	Defendants violated Telemarketing Sales Rule §310.4(c) one time by placing solicitation					
22	phone calls to Plaintiff after 9PM and before 8AM in the Pacific Time Zone.					
23	Defendants violated Washington State RCW 80.36.400(2) by using an automatic dialing					
24	and announcing device six times to commercially solicit Plaintiff.					
	PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR A CIVIL CASE - 4 CASE NO 3:21-CV-05423  NATHEN BARTON 4618 NW 11 <sup>TH</sup> CIR CAMAS WA 98607					

Defendants violated Washington State RCW 80.36.390(3) 10 times by continuing to make additional telephone solicitations to Plaintiff after Plaintiff told DirecTV during telephone contact to remove Plaintiff from Defendant's call lists.

Defendants violated Washington State RCW 19.158.040 one time by placing a solicitation phone call to Plaintiff after 9PM and before 8AM in the Pacific Time Zone.

Defendants violated Washington State RCW 19.158.150 11 times by soliciting Plaintiff on his cell phone while they were not registered on with the Washington State department of Licensing, or while working on behalf of an unregistered commercial telephone solicitor.

Washington State RCW 80.36.390(3) says

If, at any time during the telephone contact, the called party states or indicates that he or she does not wish to be called again by the company or organization or wants to have his or her name and telephone number removed from the telephone lists used by the company or organization making the telephone solicitation, then:

(a) The company or organization shall not make any additional telephone solicitation of the called party at that telephone number within a period of at least one year;

Plaintiff believes that his February 15, 2021 DNC request is sufficient to trigger protection under RCW 80.36.390(3) for the 10 solicitation calls placed to Plaintiff after February 15, 2021.

Washington State RCW 19.158.110(2) says:

If at any time during the telephone contact, the purchaser states or indicates that he or she does not wish to be called again by the commercial telephone solicitor or wants to have his or her name and individual telephone number removed from the telephone lists used by the commercial telephone solicitor:

(a) The commercial telephone solicitor shall not make any additional commercial telephone solicitation of the called party at that telephone number within a period of at least one year;

21

22

23

24

Plaintiff believes that his February 15, 2021 DNC request is sufficient to trigger protection under RCW 19.158.110(2) for the 10 solicitation calls placed to Plaintiff after February 15, 2021.

Plaintiff asks for treble damages under TCPA 47 U.S.C. 227(c)(5) and TCPA 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(3), and the presumption that violations of Washington State RCW 19.158 and RCW 80.36.400 triple damages under the Washington State Unfair Business Practices Act.

Plaintiff prays for all possible damages, in law and in equity, statutory, real, and punitive, that he might be entitled too. These damages include but are not limited to court costs and attorney fees.

#### V. CERTIFICATION AND CLOSING

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that this complaint: (1) is not being presented for an improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation; (2) is supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Rule 11.

I agree to provide the Clerk's Office with any changes to my address where case-related papers may be served. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Date of signing:

Signature of Plaintiff

Printed Name of Plaintiff

Nathen

Barton

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR A CIVIL CASE - 6 CASE NO 3:21-CV-05423

NATHEN BARTON 4618 NW 11<sup>TH</sup> CIR CAMAS WA 98607



US POSTAGE & FEES PAID 4 OZ FIRST-CLASS PARCEL RATE ZONE 2 NO SURCHARGE ComBasPrice

06250012560701 7743568 FROM 98607



stamps endicia 06/08/2021

# USPS FIRST-CLASS PKG™

SHIP TO: US DISTRICT COURT 1717 PACIFIC AVE TACOMA WA 98402-3264 -

LODGED\_RECEIVED

- NEOFIAM

JUN 1 0 2021

CLERKUS DISTRICT COURT DETRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA DEP

USPS TRACKING #



9400 1111 0829 6541 1772 92